

KANSAS PRESS WOMEN

Apt. No. 523 1629 West 37th Ter. Topeka, Kan., 66609 Dec. 4, 1970

Sen. Robert Dole Senate Office Building Washington, D.C.

Dear Sen. Dole:

As a woman in the communications field and one who has become vitally interested in the field of women's rights, I would like to register my objections to the Senate's treatment of the women's rights bill.

After years of being completely ignored, the bill was finally introduced in the House this year and passed by an overwhelming vote, only to be pushed aside and treated with what I consider to be nothing short of contempt by certain members of the Senate.

Perhaps the enclosed clipping from a recent issue of the Capital-Journal's Midway Magazine of a story I did will further explain my thoughts on this subject.

I think it is time that certain Senators came out of the Dark Ages and recognized the fact that women are human too, and have as much right to equal protection of the law as do Negroes, Indians and Mexican-Americans.

I think many Senators should remember that women are a voting majority in this nation and the killing of the women's rights bill by ignoring it, by not having the courage to vote on it, and it alone, doesn't sit too well with many of us.

And as a Congressman who supposedly is very close to the White House, I'd like you to know that the lack of interest on the part of President Nixon in women's rights and his failure to appoint women to top jobs in his administration is also a subject of deep concern to many women today.

Sincer au Natnie Lohne

Secretary Kansas Press by

November 20, 1970

Memo from -

PEG VINES SELATO DOB DOLE to Senator Bob DOLE OF Kansas: NOV 2 3 1910

I urge you to support Rep. Criffiths' resolution, H.J. Res. 264, without amendment.

As the working mother of seven children and also the major support of this family, I feel this legislation is long overdue. It's only a start--but it's in the right direction. I am promoting this Equal Rights movement in every way that I can in my business and in my home--trying to change attitudes which is not easy. As my lawmaker, you have a wonderful opportunity to put substance behind our appeals.

Please don't let this session go by without going on the line in support of this legislation. I don't need chairs pulled out for me at dinner tables nearly so much as I need a fair shake for the good jobs for me, and the five of my children who are girls.

minto

835 Spaulding, Wichita, Ks.

December 9, 1970

officer

Mrs. Peg Vines 835 Spaulding Wichita, Kansas 67203

Dear Mrs. Vines:

This will acknow dedge and thank you for your recent letter urging my support of H. J. Res. 264, the Equal Rights Amendment.

This amendment was considered on the Senate Floor, but the measure was never brought to a vote, and nothing will be done during this session of Congress. It is expected, however, that this will be re-introduced in the next session. I supported this measure, as I realize the need for equality in job opportunity and, particularly, equal pay for equal work.

Thanks for sharing ;your views with me, and if I may be of assistance in any way, please let me know.

Sincerely yours,

BOB DOLE U. S. Senate

BD:em

National Federation of Republican Women

310 First Street, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Area Code 202-484-6670

Log-aqualta

November 10, 1970

Honorable Robert J. Dole Room 2327 Senate Office Building Washington, D. C.

Dear Senator Dole:



In response to a request from Senator Birch Bayh, many vitally interested organizations have reviewed his substitute amendment #1062. We have almost unanimously agreed that this is unacceptable.

With the crowded short session which will convene Monday, November 16th, we feel the time is not right, nor the climate favorable for proper consideration of the Equal Rights Amendment and it is our hope that it (H. J. Res. 264) together with the substitute will be dropped for this session.

If the proper procedure to accomplish this is the removal of your name as a sponsor of the substitute #1062, we hope that you will do so.

Respectfully,

Gladys O Donnell (Mrs. J. Lloyd) President. NFRW

GO/oj

President Mrs. J. Lloyd O'Donnell 310 First Street, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20003

Immediate Past President Mrs. Dorothy Andrews Kobis 1026 16th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

First Vice President Mrs. Norman Armitage 268 Connecticut Avenue Spartanburg, S.C. 29302

Second Vice President Miss Lohomo Dennis 1219 Gorfield Topeka, Kansos 66604

Third Vice President Mrs. John T. Salmon P. O. Box 17286 Tucson, Arizona 85710

Fourth Vice President Mrs. Laddie F. Hutar 3800 Loke Shore Drive Chicogo, Illinois 60613

Secretary Mrs. James W. Blackham, Jr. 33 Canterbury Road Winchester, Mass. 01890

Treasurer Mrs. Wendell Hobbs 3000 Overridge Drive Ann Arbor, Mich. 48104

Members at Large Mrs. Warren Snider Route 2, Box 508 Selah, Washington 98942

Mrs. George J. Thacik Waodhurst, 201 McLoughlin St. Curwensville, Pa. 16833

Mrs. James Tuck 4403 Iroquois Avenue Nashville, Tenn. 37205

Standing Committee Chairmen

Campaign Mrs. James Kelly 38 Raycroft Blvd. Snyder, New York 14226

Finance Mrs. Frank Kenney Route 2, Box 104 Barrington, III. 60010

Membership Mrs. Sue Reed 1314 48th Street Des Moines, Iowa 50312

Program Mrs. Peter H. Dominick 1801 45th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007

Public Relations Mrs. Vonce Hickmon 2700 Tudor Road Winston-Solem, N.C. 27106

Revisions Mrs. J. B. Parks 312 Carlile Avenue Pueblo, Colo. 81005 November 3, 1970

29. 29 unly

Mr. and Mrs. David Whipple 504 Louisiana Lavrence, Kansas 66044

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Whipple:

This will acknowledge and thank you for your letter of October 28, expressing your support for S. J. Res. 61, the proposed Amendment to the Constitution which would provide Equal Rights for Women.

As you perhaps know, H. J. Res. 264, a similar proposal, passed the House of Representatives and was debated for a ahort while on the Senate Floor prior to the election recess. S. J. Res. 61, of which I am a co-sponsor, is now pending before the Senate Judiciary Committee. The Senate is scheduled to resume debate on the equal rights amendment sometime after the recess, although it is impossible at this time to predict what the final outcome of the proposal will be due to the various amendments that have been added to the amendment and the heavy schedule for the Senate for November and December.

In any event, I appreciate knowing your views on this amendment, and you may be assured they will be kept in mind as the Senate resumes consideration of H. J. Res. 264.

Thank you again for writing, and if I may be of assistance to you in any way, please contact me.

Sincerely yours,

BOB DOLE U. S. Senate

BD/Jbh

BOB DOLE Leoti, Kansas 29 September 19

Senator Robert Dole United States Senate Washington, D. C.

Dear Senator Dole,

This is to add my voice to those who stand for equality for women. My thinking is that:

- 1. Women are entitled to equal pay for equal work.
- 2. Nomen whould not be disqualified from jobs hecause of sex.
- 3. Women should have adecuate care provided for children during hours spent on the job.
- 1. Paby-sitter fees should be exempt from tax if related to jobs.

Sincerely yours,

Ruby R. Downs (Mrs. Horace R.) Jours

OCT 2 6 197 0 USSHINGTOK, d. C. 20510

WOMEN'S EQUITY ACTION LEAGUE

Senator Birch Bayh U.S. Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Bayh

Marian Ash Dr. Daryl J. Bem Dr. Sandra L. Bem Caroline Bird Dr. Elizabeth Boyer Marjorie M. Childs Christine Y. Conaway Grace D. Cox Dr. Nancy E. Dowding Dorothy Fuldheim Cong. Martha W. Griffiths Dorothy Haener Dorothy Hamlet Judge Marion J. Harron Judge Olive L. Holmes Phineas Indritz Dr. Hazel B. Kerper Judge Blanche Krupansky Emily L. Leedy Maxine G. Levin Grace A. Martin Dr. Elizabeth R. Miller Paige Palmer Marguerite Rawalt Helen J. Roig Betty Royon Lillian Steward Frances P. Taft Carolyn E. Temin

As you know, the Women's Equity Action League has been particularly active in supporting the Equal Rights Amendment. Last May I testified before your Subcommittee concerning the effect that the Equal Rights Amendment would have on discriminatory practices in education. Other WEAL members also testified on behalf of the E.R.A., and our members have been working hard to build support for it.

10700 Lockridge Drive Silver Spring, Md. 20901

25 October 1970

The proposed substitute raises many questions and problems, and some of our concerns are as follows:

1. The proposed substitute does not paraphrase the entire 14th Amendment. Thus it implies that the remainder of the 14th -- the due process clause, and the privileges and immunities clause -- does not apply to women.

2. It is our view that the equal protection clause has not been used to extend the rights of an individual woman but it has been applied to restrict the conditions upon women on the theory of "reasonable classificiation. Legal interpretations have <u>not</u> been on the basis that the 14th Amendment does not apply to women but on the basis of sex as a "reasonable classification" which does not violate the 14th Amendment. It is this "reasonable classification" -- under 14th Amendment interpretation -- which has 'protected' women from employment of their choice, kept them off juries, kept them out of some tax-supported schools, etc.

3. The proposed substitute would enhance the notion of "reasonable classification" as exemplified in your speech introducing it where you refer to "recognizing the need for a flexible standard in cases where different treatment under the law may be justified." Thus, already in the legislative history is the principal of "reasonable classification" embodied and espoused. It is the unreasonableness of this "reasonable classification" and the subsequent inequities, that have led to the dirve for the Equal Rights Amendment. To allow for such "different treatment" ig no advance for our cause whatsoever.

4. Should such a substitute amendment be passed by the Congress, it would be seen as a verification of Congressional acknowledgment that the 14th Amendment does not apply to women. From the time of such passage until such time as ratification was secured, all cases currently underway under the 14th Amendment would be jeopardized in a way in which they currently are not, because of the implication that the 14th would not in any way apply to women. during That time, If it took the full seven years for ratification, Ait would be very difficult to successfully argue in Court that the 14th did indeed apply to women. At worst, if the substitute were passed by the Congress but not ratified, this would freeze into being that the 14th does not apply to women at all.

In short, the substitute is not a substitute for the Equal Rights Amendment at all. It would not give us the constitutional base with which to move forward in abolishing discriminatory differential treatment based on sex, and indeed might even be harmful and retrogressive in its effects.

It is therefore with mcuh regret that I inform you that we cannot in any way support this substitute. We have long been grateful for your help and your support in the area of women's rights. But we would ask you now, to seriously consider officially withdrawing this Amendment. We know it will be difficult for you to do this. We also know that the continuing struggle to get passage of the Equal Rights Amendment will be a difficult one. We need your support on this.

With best wishes,

Bernice Sandler

(Dr.) Bernice Sandler, Chairman, Action Committee for Federal Contract Compliance in Education, Women's Equity Action League (WEAL)

cc: All Senators sponsoring the Equal Rights Amendment

Representatives Chisholm, Dwyer, Green, Griffiths, Heckler, May, Mink, Hansen, Reid, and Sullivan

R F D # 2 Great Bend, Kansas 67530

August 14, 1970

The Honorable Robert Dole United States Senate Washington, D. C.

Dear Senator Dole:

I was talking with a friend the other day about the current bill on "Equal Rights for Women", and we feel that this bill is unreasonable.

My friend and I are both 19, and have been brought up with the understanding that a woman was created as a companion for man, and not as a different shape or size made to represent the same.

If women would stop and think, we feel they would realize they would not want to dig ditches, collect garbage or even be drafted. If women receive their "equal rights", a few may come up with black eyes and crushed feelings because some man got mad and "let her have it"! With "equal rights", what does she expect?

I have heard women complain about the low wages for women. Most of these have been women who should be home with their children instead of working anyway. Very seldom have I heard complaints from women who <u>have</u> to work for a living because their husbands are sick or have passed away.

We may only be 19, but we are women; women who believe men should be our superiors.

We would appreciate your reply and views on this subject, and if you are in full or part agreement with us, please tell us what we can do to make other women realize they do not want to be "equal to a man".

Very truly yours,

Kay Engleman

Independence, Kans. aug. 18, 1970 Dear Senator Dale, Please vote against Women having land rights as men, It is not Bilical !! I Peter 3 soys : Sikewise, ye wines, be in subjection to your own husbands. Titus 2:4+ 5 how "That they may teach the young women to be sober, to love their husbands to love their chiedren, to be discreet, chaste keepers of home, good, abeddient to their aun husbands." I Timethy 2:12-But I suffer not a Women to teach, nor to ursourp authority aver the mon, but to be in silence. afes, I'm a woman and I have worked and in the past, but I doit wont the responsibility of making the living. My place is to take case of the I still appreciate you and your good help for the Republican porty Mrs. Harold Hinthory ME DEMINER AUG 2 1 1970 ULL DETTINEL

OFFICERS AND CHAIRMEN 1968-69 President Mra. Roberta Sharp Office-Box 520, Abilene 67410 Res.-2024 Glendale, Salina 67401 First Vice-President Mra. Mary Feeley 1041 So. Glenn, Wichita 67213 Socond Vice-Prosident Mra. Jacquelyn R. Finley Box 361, Pittaburg 66762 Third Vice-President Mrs. Virginia Rickart 547 W. 4th, Larned 67550 Recording Secretary Mrs. Doria McReynolda 937 Rosch, Salina 67401 Corresponding Secretary Misa Linda Hawk 510½ No. Olive, Abilene 67410 Treasurer Mrs. Imogene Blankenship Box 269, Fort Scott 66701 Parliamentarian Hazel A. Andorson Law Library, K.U., Lawrence 66044 Counselor Ruth Niemeir 623 S. Margrave, Fort Scott 66701 Chairman Nominating Com. Mrs. Ruth Thomas 1414 Kansas Ave., Topeka 66612 Exocutivo Secretary Mrs. Dorothy Sylvester 821 So. Rutan, Wichita 67218 Editor K B W Edith Hanson 1826 So. Edgemoor, Wichita 67218 DISTRICT DIRECTORS 1. Margaret Howell Margaret Howert Box 263, Baldwin City 66006
 Velma Tuley 515 Niagara, Burlington 66839 Mrs. Ruth Sturges
 403 Wost Osage, Sedan 67361
 Mrs. Shirley Leidig 804 Washington, Clay Center 67432 N5. Mrs. Hazel Casnar 123 Hemlock Lane, Newton 67114 S5. Mrs. JoAnne Struble 815 No. Osage, Caldwell 67022
6. Mrs. Grace Brown Box 324, Phillipsburg 67661 7. Mra. Vera Howell Box 147, Larned 67550 Mrs. Marybello Rowley 710 W. Wilton, Norton 67654
 Mrs. Rosazella Blume 601 Russell, Scott City 67871 10. Mildred Walker Manter 67862 STANDING COMMITTEES Personal Development Mrs. Esther Opfer 500 Circle Lake, Wichita 67209 Civic Participation Mra. Charlotte Mall Box 183, Hays 67601 World Affairs Mra. Dora Lukenbill 1110 So. Tennessee, Chanute 66720 Finance Mrs. Lucille Castro 109 E. Laurel, Garden City 67846 Legislation Mra. Elsie Burgat, Chm. 211 E. 2nd, Hoisington 67544 Betty Taylor 411 N. Yale, Wichits 67208 Mrs. Amelia Sudbeck Rt. 1, Seneca 66538 Membership Nellie Roat 307 No. Lincoln, Hillsboro 67063 Public Relations Mra. Margaret 1. Lockard 1016 Kentucky, Lawrence 66044 SPECIAL COMMITTEES Emblem Cathy Haney 1405 Court, Clay Center 67432 History Mrs. Barbara Harrison 8412 W. 69th, Overland Park 66204 Loan and Scholarship Mrs. Gladya Ellis, Chm. Box 614, Elkhart 67950 Mrs. Lora Mae Blasor Box 452, Parsons 67357 Mary B. Porter, Treasurer 1416 No. Main, Hutchinson 67501 Youth Marguerite Hacknoy, Chm. 218 No. Sycamore, Iola 66749 218 No. Sycamore, 1018 00/49 Dr. Judith Ott 500 Broadway, Marysville 66508 Camille Rolland 118 West Elm, Hill City 67642 Foundation Audrey Smith Box 282, Goodland 67735 Nike-Samothrace & Expansion

Mrs. Virginia Rickart 547 W. 4th, Larned 67550 Fransas_ Jederation

RECEIVED FE8 1 - 1969 BOB DOLE

Ync.

BUSINESS and PROFESSIONAL WOMEN'S CLUI

Hoisington, Kansas February 10, 1969

Senator Robert Dole United States Senate Washington, D. C.

Dear Senator Dole:

The Equal Rights Amendment is the number one item on the Legislative Platform of our National Federation and shares an equal spot on our State Platform. I am writing to thank you for your support in sponsoring the amendment for I know that we have had your cooperation while you were in the House of Representatives. We earnestly solicit your continued supportfor the passage of the Equal Rights Amendment to the Constitution.

We are having a State Legeslative Conference in Topeka at the Ramada Inn on February 24, 1969, and our State President, Roberta Sharp of Abilene, and I are wishing that you might chance to be in Topeka on that day and have lunch with us at noon. We know you are very busy, but just didn't want to miss an opportunity to have you with us if it is at all possible. I'm enclosing a copy of our schedule for our conference for your information.

I used to be on your mailing list, but haven't received any of your mailings for quite some time. Would appreciate being added to your list again if you are still sending them out.

Sincerely (mrs. Ind) m Burgst Class State Legislation Chairman